

Lecturers Perceptions Towards Classroom Based Assessment: A study in a Public University of Afghanistan.

Muhammad Israil Shinwari ¹ Ismail Shinwari ² Farmanullah Sultani ³

¹ Psychosocial and Mental Health Officer with IOM, Afghanistan.

² Lecturer of English Department in Sayed Jamaluddin Afghani University

³ Lecturer of English Department in Sayed Jamaluddin Afghani University

Abstract

The study examines the perceptions of university lecturers towards classroom based assessment. A decent classroom based assessment strategy accumulates proof of student learning that illuminates' instructors' informative results. It gives lecturers data about what students know and can do. The mixed mode technique is used in the study. The open and closed ended questionnaire were used to collect the data from 62 lecturers of Kunar university. The data were analyzed in statistical tool to get its percentage. The result showed that the classroom based assessment has increase the workload of teachers, the study also benefited towards best implementation of class-room based assessment in the university.

Keywords: Classroom, assessment, workload, teacher, learning.

Introduction

Lecturers perceptions indicate to the convictions and perspectives that educators hold with respect to show rules, which are affected by variables like character, setting, and expert results. Angelo and Cross (1993) indicated that "The focal reason for classroom assessment is to enable the two educators and their students to work on the nature of learning in the study hall" through a methodology that is "SCL, teacher coordinated, valuable together, developmental, setting explicit, and solidly established in great practice".

William (Reference William 2001), for instance, had the option to show that according to a numerical point of view the consequences of high-stakes tests might deliver exceptionally temperamental outcomes for individual understudies in any event, when they are considered dependable for the gathering in general. Dhindsa, Omar, and Waldrip (2007) observed that understudies are creating a valid and practical methodology that is being connected with their genuine discovery that is finished as opposed to estimating

karma. Graham, P. (2005) stated that the most instructor competitors developed to acknowledge elective assessment as significant proof sources showing understudy learning. This traditionalist way to deal with instructing and learning keeps understudies at the core of the interaction.

There are blended perspectives with respect a new way of classroom based assessment, and a portion of the educators and guardians are confused. The genuine picture isn't so clear since there is exceptionally little data about educators' discernments, and till now there has been no exploration led on instructors' discernments towards classroom based assessment in this area. Hence, this review tries to research the educators' discernments towards classroom based assessment and the impacts of classroom based assessment with respect to learning and instructing. The instructors' discernments are vital since their insight and mentality towards ceaseless assessment in a roundabout way affect its execution cycle (Olufemi, Kassim, and Olufunbi, 2011).

Literature Review

The students in developmental assessment are effectively drawn in due to the reasons that are gathering student's information bit by bit to further develop their learning progress (William, 2011). Ceaseless assessment assists students with turning out to be more self-basic. Be that as it may, to make the whole course of classroom based assessment powerful, the primary phase of getting ready errands should be very much arranged and planned. The preparation and planning of undertakings is the major testing part of a classroom based assessment plan (Burkhardt, 2001). Pellegrino and Goldman (2008) suggested the way that learning of students can be further developed by the classroom based assessment. Few explores are led by instructors' discernment about their assessment practices and assessment abilities. The motivation behind the current review is to explore instructors' insights about the utilization of developmental, summative, and indicative evaluation procedures, for example, perceptions, oral addressing, test, shock tests, terminal tests, portfolio appraisal, peer evaluation, and self-appraisal at the rudimentary or optional school level. An instrument assists the students with creating higher request abilities (Kotsopoulos, Lee, Cordy, and Bruyns, 2014).

Anyhow, teacher believed that persistent assessment empowered instructors to help lower-achieving students improve (Hayford, 2007). Educators found utilizing class-based assessment truly assisted them with making arrangements for their students advancing requirements, and it shifts from educator-focused to kid-focused, bringing about students turning out to be more involved in arithmetic illustrations (Cardno, Tiko, Harish, and Nairn, 2009). The review was limited to the region of Kunar province, Afghanistan, so

the examination group can't offer any expression that these discoveries will be genuinely generalizable to some other setting, different times, or to the class based assessment procedures that can be dissected.

Methodology

Tashkkori and Teddlie (2003) indicated that the instantaneous blended method research configuration was used to explore the educators' perception towards classroom based assessment and the impact of classroom based assessment with respect to learning and instructing. This is a somewhat basic plan wherein subjective and quantitative information are gathered all the while utilizing a questionnaire. The surveys mixed mode with open ended and closed ended questions or quantitative sorting as well as unconditional remarks or subjective sorting. A mixed technique and simultaneous plan are utilized in this concentrate so the information get-together and examination of the subjective reactions can make sense of the quantitative information. Likewise, the survey assembled data for a somewhat minimal price. The questionnaire adopted from Uiseb 2009 to completed his research. and was utilized to assemble data from the educators' on 'The job of instructors in nonstop evaluation'. The survey was finished by the members and returned by means of their administrators or head instructors. The members were approached to put a check in the fitting box and their supporting remarks in the space determined in the survey. From 62 university lecturer and nine administrative in the university, lecturer and administrative staff were chosen utilizing random sampling. Nonrandom purposive testing was utilized to choose effectively available schools in light of transportation costs since most essential and a few optional schools were situated inside the locale.

Result and Discussion

The survey was finished and returned by 54 lecturers and administrative staff. The example included university lecturers and administrative staff.

Negative Perceptions from Participant's Lecturers

The results were amazing: most instructors (79%) from this university have an ideal outlook towards classroom based assessment; they like showing classes with classroom based assessment. Instructors showed through subjective reactions that classroom based assessment are intriguing and students grow doing the classroom based assessment undertakings. This finding is like the past exploration directed in Fiji (Cardno, Tiko, Harish, and Nairn, 2009); they found that the educators loved utilizing classroom based assessment, and it truly assisted them with making arrangements for their students advancing necessities. Moreover, the lecturers showed that classroom based assessment works on students gaining and dispose of

test strain from the lecturers and the students. This outcome upholds the concentrate by Uiseb (2009), which expressed constant assessment gives alleviation to dread and apprehension connected to assessment.

Most educators saw (86%) that classroom based assessment helps their students in learning. The subjective reaction demonstrated that classroom based assessment is an aiding device for students learning, and it presents a chance to redesign student's abilities. Moreover, lecturers demonstrated that classroom based assessment empowers student-centered-learning by giving open doors for students to work in gatherings. This result upholds the concentrate, the educators found classroom based assessment shifts gaining from instructor-focused to youngster-focused and brought about understudies turning out to be more involved in various settings of learning (Cardno, et al. 2009).

What's more, the lecturers recognized that classroom based assessment help in working on viable information, particularly in modern expressions subjects like essential innovation and home financial aspects. The classroom based assessment assists with easing back students. This tracking down help Hayford (2007) expressed that most of the lecturers firmly believed that consistent assessment empowered instructors to help lower-achieving students to move along.

Negative Perceptions from Participant's Lecturers

The study showed that the 21% of lecturers have negative perceptions concerning classroom based assessment. They just could do without showing classes with classroom based assessment. Through subjective investigation, the instructors proposed that classroom based assessment builds their responsibility and is very tedious. Besides, students have an exceptionally unfortunate disposition towards review in light of classroom based assessment. A few students exploit classroom based assessment; since they realize that everybody will be passing subsequently, they don't accomplish a lot of work.

All in all, 14% of the lecturers recommended that classroom based assessment doesn't help in students learning. Qualitative data indicated that lecturers saw that the classroom based assessment brings about copying. As remarked by the lecturers, when students are missing, they will more often than not duplicate the undertaking from others, and in some cases, the guardians or older folks do the errand for the understudies.

Conclusion

Lectures are the main specialists of classroom based assessment in the university. Consequently, this research attempted to ask lectures insights towards classroom based assessment. The writing proposes that

the progress of executing class-put-together assessment depends generally with respect to the lectures and their mentality. This study proposed that most lectures have an uplifting viewpoint; in any case, there are a critical number of lecturers with a negative disposition towards classroom based assessment. Most lecturers saw that classroom based assessment is assisting students in growing experience and helped with diminishing assessment with constraining.

References

- Tashakkori, A. & Teddlie, C. (2003). *Handbook of Mixed Methods in Social & Behavioral Research*. Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Cardno, K. T., Tiko, P., Harish, S., & Nairn, P. (2009). Now I'm teaching the children: Changing from assessment of learning to assessment for learning in Fiji.
- Olufemi, A. A., Kassim, A. O., & Olufunbi, A. J. (2011). Measuring continuous assessment literacy of primary school teachers. *American Journal of Scientific Research*, 24, 35-41.
- Taylor-Powell, E. & Renner, M. (2003). Analyzing qualitative data. *Program Development & Evaluation*, Madison: Cooperative Extension Publication.
- Angelo, T. A., & Cross, K. P. (1993). *Classroom Assessment Techniques: A Handbook for College Teachers (2nd Ed.)*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Wiliam, D. (2011). What Is Assessment for Learning? *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 37, 3-14.
- Fetene, M. (2008). The challenges of implementing continuous oral assessment in efl classes with reference to Debre-birhan teachers' college.
- Burkhardt, H. (2001). World Class Assessment: Principles, Practice and Problem Solving Cardno, K. T., Tiko, P., Harish, S., & Nairn, P. (2009). Now I'm teaching the children: Changing from assessment of learning to assessment for learning in Fiji.
- Butterfuss, R., & Kendeou, P. (2018). The role of executive functions in reading comprehension. *Educational Psychology Review*, 30, 801-826.
- Wiliam, D. (2001). Reliability, validity and all that jazz. *Education 3-13: International Journal of Primary, Elementary and Early Years Education*, 29(3), 17-21.
- Graham, P. (2005). Classroom-based assessment: Changing knowledge and practice through preservice teacher education. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 21(6), 607-621.
- Wiliam (Reference Wiliam2001), for instance, had the option to show that according to a numerical point of view the consequences of high-stakes tests might deliver exceptionally temperamental outcomes for individual understudies in any event, when they are considered dependable for the gathering in general.

