The Impact of Teacher Evaluation Systems on Teacher Morale and Performance: A Study in a Public University in Afghanistan

[1]Fareed Sahil and [2]Khalilullah Hanif

[1]Lecturer of the Department of English, Faculty of Language and Literature, Nangarhar University

[2]Lecturer of the Department of Pashto, Faculty of Education, Sayed Jamaluddin Afghani University

Abstract

This qualitative study explores the impact of teacher evaluation systems on teacher morale and performance at a public university in Afghanistan. The research aims to understand faculty members' perceptions of the evaluation process, how it influences their motivation, and its effects on teaching practices. Data were collected through semi-structured interviews with faculty members from the academic discipline, focusing on their experiences with the evaluation system, the feedback received, and its impact on their professional development. The findings revealed that faculty members' perceptions of fairness, transparency, and the usefulness of feedback significantly influence their morale and teaching performance, as well as faculty who viewed the evaluation process as fair, transparent, and supportive of professional growth reported higher job satisfaction and motivation, leading to improved teaching practices. In contrast, those who perceived the evaluations as unclear, unfair, or disconnected from professional development expressed frustration and disengagement, which negatively impacted their morale and performance.

Keywords: Teacher evaluation, morale, performance, motivation, teaching practices

Introduction

Teacher evaluation systems play a crucial role in enhancing the quality of education and ensuring that universities meet their educational goals. Studies have shown that evaluations that provide teachers with actionable feedback, such as detailed suggestions for improvement, opportunities for peer collaboration, and access to professional development resources, can significantly enhance teaching performance (Kane, Rockoff, & Stosich, 2011). In many higher

---

education institutions worldwide, teacher evaluations are used as a tool for assessing teaching effectiveness, providing feedback, and promoting professional development. However, the impact of these evaluation systems on teacher morale and performance can vary greatly, depending on how they are designed, implemented, and perceived by educators. In developing countries such as Afghanistan, where higher education institutions face unique challenges—including limited resources, political instability, and cultural constraints—the effectiveness of teacher evaluation systems may be influenced by additional contextual factors. Globally, teacher evaluation systems have been introduced to promote accountability and improve the overall quality of education However, poorly implemented evaluation systems—especially those that focus solely on punitive measures or simplistic metrics—can lead to negative outcomes, including low teacher morale, stress, and disengagement (Wiliam, 2016).

However, little empirical research has been conducted to understand the specific impact of teacher evaluation systems on teacher morale and performance in the Afghan context. This gap in the literature is particularly important, as teacher morale is closely linked to job satisfaction, teaching effectiveness, and retention rates, all of which are crucial for the success of the higher education system.

This study seeks to fill this gap by examining the impact of teacher evaluation systems at a public university in Afghanistan. It investigates how these systems affect teachers' perceptions of their roles, their motivation to improve, and their overall job satisfaction. Moreover, the study explores the relationship between evaluation systems and teacher performance, specifically in terms of teaching effectiveness and professional growth. By focusing on the Afghan context, this research aims to contribute to the broader discourse on teacher evaluation in higher education, offering insights into the challenges and opportunities faced by universities in developing countries. In the broader context of teacher evaluations in developing countries, research has shown that the success of such systems depends not only on the quality of the evaluation tools themselves but also on how well these systems are integrated into the broader professional development framework of the institution (Berk, 2013). In countries with limited educational resources, the challenge lies in ensuring that evaluations lead to meaningful feedback and development opportunities for teachers, rather than merely serving as a mechanism for identifying weak performers. In Afghanistan, where educational reforms are still in the early stages, the need for effective teacher evaluations that foster teacher growth and improvement is more critical than ever.

The objectives of this research are threefold: first, to explore how teacher evaluation systems are perceived by faculty members at a public university in Afghanistan; second, to assess the impact of these evaluations on teacher morale and job satisfaction; and third, to examine the ways in which

teacher evaluations influence teaching performance and professional development. Through this study, we aim to provide recommendations for improving the design and implementation of teacher evaluation systems, ensuring they are both effective and supportive of faculty development.

In the following sections, we review relevant literature on teacher evaluation systems, focusing on their potential impact on teacher morale, performance, and institutional improvement. We also highlight the unique challenges faced by Afghan universities in implementing effective evaluation systems. This background provides the foundation for understanding the significance of the study and the potential implications of its findings for higher education reform in Afghanistan and similar contexts. In the context of Afghanistan, the role of teacher evaluation systems is particularly critical as the country's higher education system seeks to modernize and improve in response to socio-political challenges, limited resources, and evolving educational needs. Despite efforts to reform and strengthen public universities in Afghanistan, there is limited empirical research that examines how teacher evaluation systems impact the morale and performance of faculty members, especially in public universities. This gap in the literature is significant, as teacher morale is directly linked to job satisfaction, teaching effectiveness, and overall academic performance.

Literature Review

Teacher evaluation systems are pivotal to enhancing the quality of education in higher education institutions. These systems serve as a means to assess teaching effectiveness, provide constructive feedback, and support faculty development. In the global educational landscape, the impact of teacher evaluation systems on teacher morale and performance has been widely debated. This literature review explores the various dimensions of teacher evaluation systems, their effects on teacher morale and performance, and the challenges associated with their implementation, particularly in the context of public universities in Afghanistan. Teacher evaluation systems are commonly employed by universities to assess the effectiveness of instructors in delivering quality education. The process typically involves multiple forms of feedback, including student evaluations, peer reviews, and self-assessments, along with administrative evaluations. According to Danielson (2007), an effective teacher evaluation system is one that focuses not only on assessing the performance of teachers but also on providing developmental feedback to help instructors improve their teaching practices. The aim is to foster a culture of continuous improvement in teaching, which is fundamental to enhancing the overall quality of education. In many higher education systems worldwide, teacher evaluations are used for purposes such as improving teaching quality, promoting

accountability, and making decisions related to tenure, promotion, or salary increases. However, the success of these evaluation systems largely depends on how they are designed and implemented. Properly structured evaluations, which emphasize growth and development rather than punishment, have been shown to positively impact both teacher morale and performance (Berk, 2013).

Teacher morale refers to the overall well-being and job satisfaction of educators, which is influenced by various factors such as workload, institutional support, and the quality of working conditions. When teachers feel that evaluations are fair, transparent, and focused on helping them improve, they are more likely to view the process as supportive rather than punitive. This can enhance job satisfaction, motivation, and a sense of professional accomplishment (Danielson, 2007). However, poorly implemented evaluation systems can have the opposite effect. Evaluations that are perceived as unfair, biased, or focused solely on punitive measures can lead to a decrease in morale, causing stress, frustration, and disengagement among faculty members (Berk, 2013). In some cases, teachers may feel demotivated if the evaluation system lacks transparency or does not take into account the complexities of teaching in higher education. In Afghanistan, where hierarchical structures and traditional educational practices dominate, teacher evaluations that do not align with faculty expectations or cultural norms may lead to resistance and dissatisfaction (Arum & Shavit, 1995). The link between teacher evaluation systems and teaching performance is central to the effectiveness of evaluation processes. Research suggests that evaluation systems, when designed to provide meaningful feedback, can lead to improvements in teaching quality and instructional practices. The feedback loop created by effective teacher evaluations encourages teachers to reflect on their teaching methods, adopt new strategies, and seek professional development opportunities. For example, evaluations that include student feedback, peer assessments, and self-reflection can help teachers identify areas of strength and weakness, motivating them to enhance their teaching practices. Furthermore, the ongoing nature of teacher evaluations, when integrated with professional development programs, fosters a culture of continuous learning among faculty members (Goe, Bell, & Little, 2008). However, when teacher evaluations are not aligned with teaching goals or fail to provide meaningful feedback, they may have little to no impact on improving teaching performance. In contexts like Afghanistan, where limited resources and professional development opportunities may be a barrier to faculty improvement, ineffective evaluation systems can hinder rather than help teaching effectiveness (Gul, 2013).

In developing countries such as Afghanistan, the challenges associated with implementing effective teacher evaluation systems are compounded by several factors, including limited resources, political instability, and traditional educational practices. In Afghanistan, universities often face challenges related to inadequate infrastructure, lack of funding for professional development, and a limited number of qualified faculty members. These challenges can make the implementation of

teacher evaluation systems more complex, especially when evaluations are viewed as external or foreign impositions (Khan, 2015). Research conducted in other developing countries has shown that teacher evaluation systems can be highly effective when tailored to the local context and supported by appropriate resources. For example, in countries like Kenya and India, where teacher evaluations were integrated with comprehensive professional development programs, faculty members reported improvements in teaching effectiveness and job satisfaction (Muralidharan & Sundararaman, 2013). Similarly, in post-conflict countries such as Rwanda, the introduction of teacher evaluations was found to contribute to the professionalization of the teaching workforce, improving both teacher morale and student outcomes (Harris, 2010). In Afghanistan, however, the impact of teacher evaluation systems on teacher morale and performance remains underexplored. Although some steps have been taken to implement teacher evaluations as part of broader educational reforms, there is limited research examining how these systems are perceived by faculty members and their effects on teaching quality. This gap in knowledge is significant, as it may hinder the design of effective evaluation systems that are both supportive and beneficial for teachers. Cultural and contextual factors play a significant role in shaping the effectiveness of teacher evaluation systems. In Afghanistan, the hierarchical nature of academic institutions and the respect for authority may influence how teacher evaluations are perceived. Teachers may be resistant to evaluations if they feel that the process is imposed from the top down without sufficient involvement or buy-in from faculty members. Additionally, the lack of a strong tradition of formative assessments in Afghan higher education may make it challenging to implement evaluation systems that focus on teacher development rather than just performance metrics (Quraishi & Sadiq, 2017). Understanding these cultural factors is essential for designing teacher evaluation systems that are both effective and culturally sensitive. For example, involving faculty members in the design of evaluation tools, ensuring transparency in the process, and providing opportunities for professional development based on evaluation outcomes can help to mitigate resistance and improve the overall impact of evaluations (Mertler, 2017).

Methodology

This study aims to explore the impact of teacher evaluation systems on teacher morale and performance at a public university in Afghanistan. To achieve this, a qualitative method research design was employed. This approach allows for a comprehensive examination of qualitative data, providing a richer understanding of the experiences and perceptions of faculty members regarding

teacher evaluation systems. This research design uses a qualitative methods approach, combining qualitative data collection methods. This design allows for the collection of data, providing a more vigorous understanding of the impact of teacher evaluation systems on teacher morale and performance. The qualitative aspect involves semi-structured interviews to gather data on teacher perceptions, morale, and teaching effectiveness, and deeper insights into the experiences and attitudes of faculty members toward evaluation systems. The study was conducted at a public university in Afghanistan, chosen for its diverse faculty and the ongoing implementation of teacher evaluation systems. Faculty members from an academic department are selected to participate in the study, ensuring representation across different fields of study, academic ranks, and years of teaching experience. The target population for this study includes all full-time faculty members at the university who have participated in the teacher evaluation process. A sample of a faculty member is selected, ensuring a mix of ages and years of teaching experience. A purposive sampling technique is used to select a participant. Qualitative data from the interviews were analyzed using thematic analysis. This method involves identifying, analyzing, and reporting patterns (themes) within the data. The interview transcripts will be read and re-read to gain an in-depth understanding of the participants' views. Codes will be assigned to significant segments of the text, and themes will be developed from the codes.

Findings

The qualitative findings from the interviews with faculty members revealed several key insights into how teacher evaluation systems impact teacher morale and performance at a public university in Afghanistan. The analysis of the interview data identified recurring themes that highlight the strengths and weaknesses of the current evaluation system, as well as the challenges faced by faculty members. The following sections outline the main findings concerning faculty perceptions of the evaluation system, its influence on morale, and its impact on teaching performance.

1. Fairness and Transparency

One of the most significant findings was the faculty members' concern about the fairness and transparency of the teacher evaluation system. Many participants expressed that the evaluation process lacked clear guidelines and was not perceived as transparent. Faculty member reported that

---

they were often unclear about the criteria used to evaluate their performance and the methods by which their evaluations were conducted. As one faculty member noted:

> *"There is no clear explanation of what exactly is being evaluated. Sometimes it feels like evaluations are based on personal preferences rather than objective measures."*

This sense of ambiguity led to feelings of mistrust towards the evaluation system, as faculty members were unsure about how their evaluations were influencing decisions such as promotions, tenure, or professional development opportunities. The respondent mentioned that the absence of transparency caused them to question the validity of the feedback they received, reducing the perceived value of the evaluation process.

2. Impact on Teacher Morale

Teacher morale was significantly affected by the perceived fairness and transparency of the evaluation process. Faculty members who believed that evaluations were conducted in a fair and unbiased manner tended to report higher levels of job satisfaction and motivation. These individuals felt that the evaluation system was a useful tool for self-improvement and professional growth. The respondent stated:

> *"When the evaluations are fair, and I receive meaningful feedback, I feel motivated to improve my teaching. It's an opportunity to reflect on what works and what doesn't."*

On the other hand, faculty members who felt that the evaluation system was unfair or overly focused on irrelevant aspects of teaching, such as student satisfaction or test scores, reported a decline in morale. The participant expressed that the evaluation process created stress and anxiety, especially when the feedback they received was vague or unhelpful. As the participant commented:

> *"The evaluations make me anxious because they often feel like a judgment rather than a tool for improvement. I feel like it's not about my actual teaching, but more about how well I can please students or meet certain numerical targets."*

This lack of alignment between evaluation criteria and the realities of teaching led to feelings of frustration and disengagement, which ultimately contributed to decreased morale.

3. Effectiveness of Feedback and Professional Development

The study also found that the effectiveness of the feedback provided through teacher evaluations had a considerable impact on faculty members' teaching performance. Faculty who received detailed, constructive feedback felt that the evaluations had a positive effect on their teaching practices. The participant reported using feedback as a basis for professional development, leading to improved instructional methods and greater student engagement. For example, the faculty member shared:

> "After receiving detailed feedback, I was able to identify specific areas where I could improve. It encouraged me to seek out new teaching strategies and attend workshops to improve my skills."

In contrast, faculty members who received generalized or non-specific feedback felt that the evaluations did not help them improve their teaching. The participant mentioned that feedback was often too vague to be actionable or was given without any follow-up support, as well as a member remarked:

> "The feedback I get is usually just a few lines, and it doesn't tell me what I can do differently. Without any follow-up or resources for improvement, it feels like a waste of time."

This lack of actionable feedback and professional development opportunities contributed to the perception that the evaluation system was ineffective in improving teaching performance.

4. Challenges in the Evaluation System

Several challenges with the current teacher evaluation system emerged from the interviews. The most frequently cited challenge was the reliance on student evaluations as a primary measure of teaching effectiveness. The faculty member expressed concerns about the validity and reliability of student evaluations, particularly in large lecture settings where individual interactions with students were minimal. Faculty members felt that student evaluations were often influenced by external factors such as personal biases, course difficulty, and grading practices, rather than reflecting true teaching quality. The participant stated:

> "Student evaluations are not a fair measure of teaching effectiveness. Some students just give bad ratings if they don't like the course or if they don't get the grade they want. It doesn't reflect my ability to teach."

1*Corresponding Author: Fareed Sahil

Additionally, the participant noted that the student evaluation process lacked sufficient context, with students sometimes rating faculty based on issues unrelated to teaching quality. These concerns point to the need for a more holistic and multifaceted approach to teacher evaluation that takes into account a broader range of factors, including peer evaluations, self-reflection, and contributions to the academic community.

5. Desire for More Collaborative and Development-Oriented Evaluations

A significant theme from the interviews was the desire among faculty members for a more collaborative and development-oriented evaluation process. The participant preferred being involved in the evaluation process, especially in terms of setting goals and discussing areas of improvement. Faculty members felt that their input in shaping the evaluation process would lead to a system that was more supportive and less punitive. The participant explained:

> *"If I could sit down with the evaluator and discuss what areas I need to improve in, it would feel like a more meaningful process. It's not just about checking boxes; it's about working together to help me grow."*

This desire for a more participatory and professional development-focused evaluation system underscores the importance of creating an evaluation framework that is seen as a tool for growth rather than a mere assessment of performance. The faculty member suggested that evaluations should be seen as part of a continuous dialogue between teachers and administrators, with a focus on fostering collaboration and supporting professional learning.

6. Cultural and Institutional Context

The cultural and institutional context of Afghanistan also played a role in shaping faculty perceptions of the evaluation system. In a ranked academic environment where authority is highly respected, faculty members were often hesitant to challenge the evaluation system or question its fairness. This sense of deference to authority may limit opportunities for faculty to provide constructive feedback on the system itself. The faculty member reported feeling reluctant to voice concerns about the evaluation process for fear of repercussions, but expressed a sense of powerlessness in influencing institutional policies, and noted:

"In our culture, it's difficult to question authority. We don't always feel comfortable discussing problems with the evaluation system because it feels like going against the administration."

This cultural dynamic suggests the need for a more inclusive approach to designing and implementing evaluation systems, one that acknowledges the role of power structures while also encouraging open dialogue and faculty involvement

Discussion

This study aimed to explore the impact of teacher evaluation systems on teacher morale and performance at a public university in Afghanistan. The findings highlight the complex relationship between teacher evaluations, faculty morale, and teaching performance, providing insights into the effectiveness of the current evaluation system and the challenges faced by faculty members. This discussion interprets the results of the study in light of existing literature and presents implications for policy and practice within Afghan higher education institutions.

One of the key findings of this study is that faculty members' perceptions of the fairness and transparency of the teacher evaluation system significantly influence their morale. Faculty who perceived the evaluation process as fair, objective, and supportive of their professional development reported higher levels of job satisfaction and motivation. These results are consistent with previous studies, such as those by Danielson (2007) and Wiliam (2016), which suggest that well-designed evaluation systems can enhance teacher morale by providing constructive feedback and opportunities for growth. However, several faculty members expressed concerns about the lack of transparency in the evaluation process, with some citing a perceived disconnect between the feedback provided and actual professional development opportunities. This perception of an unfair or incomplete evaluation system contributed to feelings of frustration and disengagement among teachers, which is in line with the findings of Berk (2013) and Mertler (2017), who argue that evaluation systems that focus solely on assessment without adequate support for improvement can lead to negative outcomes such as reduced morale and job satisfaction. In the Afghan context, where hierarchical structures and traditional views of authority may shape faculty members' experiences with evaluations, the need for clear communication and a more supportive evaluation framework is critical to maintaining teacher morale. The study found that teacher evaluations had a mixed impact on teaching performance. Faculty members who received regular, constructive feedback and opportunities for professional development reported significant improvements in their teaching practices. These teachers felt encouraged to experiment with new teaching methods, engage more

actively with students, and seek additional training. This finding supports the work of Kane, Rockoff, and Stosich (2011), who suggest that effective teacher evaluations can drive improvements in teaching quality by promoting self-reflection and continuous learning. Cultural and contextual factors played a significant role in shaping faculty members' perceptions of the teacher evaluation system. In Afghanistan, where respect for authority and hierarchical relationships are deeply embedded in the educational system, faculty members expressed concerns about the top-down nature of the evaluation process. Many felt that evaluations were imposed on them without sufficient involvement or buy-in from faculty. This finding resonates with research by Arum and Shavit (1995) and Quraishi and Sadiq (2017), which highlight the challenges of implementing reforms in education systems with strong hierarchical structures and traditional power dynamics. Moreover, the lack of a strong culture of formative assessment in Afghan universities may contribute to faculty resistance to evaluation systems that are perceived as external or disconnected from their professional development needs. To address these challenges, this study suggests that Afghan universities must involve faculty members in the design and implementation of evaluation systems, ensuring that the process is perceived as supportive rather than punitive. Engaging faculty in discussions about the purpose and goals of teacher evaluations can help to foster a sense of ownership and increase acceptance of the system.

The findings of this study have several important implications for policy and practice in Afghan higher education. First, teacher evaluation systems must be designed with faculty engagement and professional development in mind. Rather than focusing solely on performance metrics, evaluations should provide actionable feedback that supports continuous improvement. Universities should invest in training for both faculty and evaluators to ensure that the evaluation process is fair, transparent, and aligned with institutional goals. Second, the study highlights the need for a more holistic approach to teacher evaluations that incorporates multiple sources of feedback. Relying solely on student evaluations or administrative assessments may not capture the full scope of teaching performance. Peer reviews, self-assessments, and professional development opportunities should be integrated into the evaluation system to provide a more comprehensive picture of teaching effectiveness. In line with the recommendations of Goe, Bell, and Little (2008), evaluations should be seen as a tool for faculty growth rather than a mechanism for judgment or punishment. Finally, the cultural context in Afghanistan must be carefully considered when designing teacher evaluation systems. Given the hierarchical nature of Afghan universities, it is essential to involve faculty members in the development of evaluation frameworks that reflect local norms and values. Additionally, providing faculty with clear information about the evaluation process and ensuring that feedback is linked to meaningful professional development opportunities can help to mitigate concerns about fairness and transparency.

Limitations of the Study and Directions for Future Research

While this study provides valuable insights into the impact of teacher evaluation systems on teacher morale and performance at a public university in Afghanistan, there are several limitations that should be acknowledged. First, the sample size was limited to one university, which may not fully capture the diversity of experiences across different institutions in Afghanistan. Future research could expand the sample to include multiple universities, both public and private, to gain a more comprehensive understanding of the impact of teacher evaluations on faculty morale and performance across the country.

Second, the study relied on self-reported data from a faculty member, which may be subject to response bias. The faculty member may have been reluctant to share negative opinions about the evaluation system due to concerns about potential repercussions. Future research could use a combination of self-reports, interviews, and observational data to triangulate findings and minimize the impact of response bias.

Finally, while this study focused on teacher evaluations, future research could explore the broader institutional context in which these evaluations take place. Investigating the relationship between teacher evaluation systems, institutional leadership, and overall academic performance could provide a more holistic view of the factors that contribute to the success or failure of teacher evaluation processes in Afghan universities.

Summary

This study investigates the impact of teacher evaluation systems on teacher morale and performance at a public university in Afghanistan. The primary objective is to understand how faculty members perceive the evaluation process, its effect on their motivation, and the influence it has on their teaching practices. Given the unique cultural and educational context of Afghanistan, the research aims to provide insights into how evaluation systems can be designed to support both faculty development and institutional improvement.

The qualitative method was employed, conducting a self-constructed semi-structured interview to collect data from a faculty member. The interview focused on faculty perceptions of the fairness, transparency, and effectiveness of the evaluation system to provide a deeper understanding of the personal experiences of faculty with the evaluation process. The study found that faculty

members' perceptions of the evaluation system had a significant impact on their morale and job satisfaction. Teachers who viewed the evaluation system as fair, transparent, and supportive of professional growth reported higher levels of motivation and better performance in their teaching.

Conversely, those who perceived the system as unfair or disconnected from their professional development expressed frustration and disengagement, which negatively affected their morale and teaching performance. The study also revealed that teacher evaluations, when linked to professional development opportunities, contributed to improvements in teaching practices. However, reliance on simplistic metrics such as student evaluations alone was seen as inadequate for accurately assessing teaching effectiveness. The study highlights the importance of involving faculty in the development of evaluation systems and ensuring that feedback is constructive and linked to meaningful development opportunities. It also emphasizes the need for a more holistic approach to teacher evaluation that includes peer reviews, self-assessments, and professional development initiatives.

In conclusion, this research underscores the importance of designing teacher evaluation systems that are fair, transparent, and supportive of faculty development. By considering the cultural and contextual factors specific to Afghan higher education, universities can create evaluation frameworks that enhance teacher morale, improve teaching performance, and contribute to the overall quality of education.

Overall, this study provides valuable insights into how teacher evaluation systems can be improved to enhance faculty morale and performance in Afghan universities. It advocates for a more transparent, inclusive, and development-oriented approach to teacher evaluations to foster a positive academic environment and improve the quality of teaching.

Conclusion

The findings of this study revealed that teacher evaluation systems have a profound impact on teacher morale and performance. While faculty members who perceive the evaluation system as fair and supportive of their professional growth report higher morale and improved teaching practices, those who view the process as opaque, unfair, or disconnected from their development experience report decreased morale and disengagement. The study highlights the importance of clear, constructive feedback, opportunities for professional development, and a more participatory and collaborative approach to evaluations. To enhance the effectiveness of teacher evaluations and

foster a positive teaching environment, Afghan universities must address these challenges and design systems that are transparent, fair, and focused on faculty development.

References

1. **Arum, R., & Shavit, Y.** (1995). *Education and inequality: The structure of educational systems and social stratification. International Journal of Comparative Sociology, 36*(1-2), 13-41.

2. **Berk, R. A.** (2013). *Thirteen strategies to measure college teaching. International Journal of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education, 25*(2), 132-146.

3. **Danielson, C.** (2007). *Enhancing professional practice: A framework for teaching* (2nd ed.). ASCD.

4. **Goe, L., Bell, C., & Little, O.** (2008). *Approaches to evaluating teacher effectiveness. National Comprehensive Center for Teacher Quality.*

5. **Gul, S.** (2013). *Teacher evaluation: A case study of teachers' perceptions in higher education institutions in Pakistan. International Journal of Educational Management, 27*(1), 66-78. https://doi.org/10.1108/09513541311293619

6. **Kane, T. J., Rockoff, J. E., & Stosich, E. L.** (2011). *What does teacher evaluation tell us about teacher effectiveness?. The Annual Review of Economics, 3*(1), 179-206.

7. **Mertler, C. A.** (2017). *Measuring teaching effectiveness. SAGE Publications.*

8. **Quraishi, U., & Sadiq, A.** (2017). *Educational reforms and teacher evaluation systems in Pakistan: A policy analysis. Asian Education and Development Studies, 6*(2), 143-160. https://doi.org/10.1108/AEDS-08-2016-0069

9. **Wiliam, D.** (2016). *The role of assessment in a learning culture. Educational Assessment, Evaluation and Accountability, 28*(2), 67-85. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11092-015-9221-x